

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney
DAVID W. SPENCER
Assistant United States Attorney
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 554-2700
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSE GUADALUPE LOPEZ-ZAMORA,
LEONARDO FLORES BELTRAN,
CHRISTIAN ANTHONY ROMERO,
JASON LAMAR LEE,
BAUDELIO VIZCARRA, JR.,
JOAQUIN ALBERTO SOTELO VALDEZ,
RUDI JEAN CARLOS FLORES,
ERIKA GABRIELA ZAMORA ROJO,
JAVIER HERNANDEZ, and
JOSE LUIS AGUILAR SAUCEDO,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:21-CR-0007-JAM

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER

DATE: January 25, 2022
TIME: 9:30 a.m.
COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and the above-captioned defendants, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on January 25, 2022.
2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until April 19, 2022, and to exclude time between January 25, 2022, and April 19, 2022, under Local Codes T2 and T4.
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:

1 a) The grand jury returned an indictment in this case on January 28, 2021. Fourteen
2 defendants are named in the publicly filed indictment. The names of two additional defendants
3 who have not yet been arrested are redacted from the publicly filed indictment.

4 b) On March 5, 2021, defendant Javier Hernandez made his initial appearance in the
5 case and was arraigned on the Indictment. ECF No. 123.

6 c) On April 13, 2021, defendant Baudelio Vizcarra, Jr. made his initial appearance in
7 the case and was arraigned on the Indictment. ECF No. 137. On May 4, 2021, the Court signed
8 an order substituting attorney Sanjay Sobti as counsel of record for defendant Vizcarra. ECF No.
9 160.

10 d) On May 11, 2021, the Court signed an order substituting attorney Kresta Daly as
11 counsel of record for defendant Christian Romero. ECF No. 162.

12 e) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case to
13 date includes approximately 7,720 pages of materials, including investigative reports,
14 photographs, search warrant materials, and other documents, as well as voluminous audio and
15 video recordings and approximately 978 recorded phone calls intercepted pursuant to the Title III
16 wiretap in this case. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or
17 made available for inspection and copying.

18 f) Counsel for defendants need additional time to review the voluminous discovery
19 in this case, to conduct independent factual investigation, to research trial and sentencing issues,
20 to consult with their clients, and to otherwise prepare for trial.

21 g) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested
22 continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into
23 account the exercise of due diligence.

24 h) The government does not object to the continuance.

25 i) In addition, this case is “complex” within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.
26 § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T2], as this Court previously found in its February 10, 2021
27 Order (ECF No. 103) and subsequent orders.
28

j) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

k) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 25, 2022 to April 19, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] and 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T2] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 20, 2022

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER
DAVID W. SPENCER
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Todd D. Leras
Todd D. Leras
Counsel for Defendant
JOSE GUADALUPE LOPEZ-
ZAMORA

Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Christopher R. Cosca
Christopher R. Cosca
Counsel for Defendant
LEONARDO FLORES BELTRAN

Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Kresta N. Daly
Kresta N. Daly
Counsel for Defendant
CHRISTIAN ANTHONY ROMERO

1 Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Olaf W. Hedberg
Olaf W. Hedberg
Counsel for Defendant
JASON LAMAR LEE

4 Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Sanjay Sobti
Sanjay Sobti
Counsel for Defendant
BAUDELIO VIZCARRA, JR.

7 Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Michael D. Long
Michael D. Long
Counsel for Defendant
JOAQUIN ALBERTO SOTELO
VALDEZ

10 Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Tasha P. Chalfant
Tasha P. Chalfant
Counsel for Defendant
RUDI JEAN CARLOS FLORES

13 Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Martin Tejeda
Martin Tejeda
Counsel for Defendant
ERIKA GABRIELA ZAMORA ROJO

16 Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Kelly Babineau
Kelly Babineau
Counsel for Defendant
JAVIER HERNANDEZ

19 Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Dina L. Santos
Dina L. Santos
Counsel for Defendant
JOSE LUIS AGUILAR SAUCEDO

23 **[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER**

24 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this ____ day of _____, ____.

26 _____
27 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE